

A BIVARIATE VERSION OF THE HYPER-POISSON DISTRIBUTION AND SOME OF ITS PROPERTIES

C. SATHEESH KUMAR

Department of Statistics, University of Kerala, Trivandrum, India.
Email: drcsatheeshkumar@gmail.com

B. UNNIKRSHNAN NAIR

University of Kerala, Trivandrum, India.
Email: ukswathy@gmail.com

SUMMARY

A bivariate version of the hyper-Poisson distribution is introduced here through its probability generating function (*pgf*). we study some of its important aspects by deriving its probability mass function, factorial moments, marginal and conditional distributions and obtain certain recurrence relations for its probabilities, raw moments and factorial moments. Further, the method of maximum likelihood is discussed and the procedures are illustrated using a real life data set.

Keywords and phrases: Confluent hypergeometric function, Displaced Poisson distribution, Factorial moment generating function, Hermite distribution, Poisson distribution.

AMS Classification: Primary 60E05, 60E10; Secondary 33C20.

1 Introduction

Bivariate discrete distributions have received a great deal of attention in the literature. For details see Kumar (2008), Kocherlakota and Kocherlakota (1992) and references therein. Bardwell and Crow (1964) studied the hyper-Poisson distribution (HP distribution), which they defined as follows. A random variable X is said to follow an HP distribution if it has the following probability mass function (*pmf*), for $x = 0, 1, \dots$

$$g(x) = P(X = x) = \frac{\theta^x \Gamma(\lambda)}{\phi(1; \lambda; \theta) \Gamma(\lambda + x)}, \quad (1.1)$$

in which λ, θ are positive real numbers and $\phi(1; \lambda; \theta)$ is the confluent hypergeometric series (for details see Mathai and Saxena, 1973 or Slater, 1960). The probability generating function (*pgf*) of the HP distribution with *pmf* (1.1) is the following

$$G(t) = \frac{\phi(1; \lambda; \theta t)}{\phi(1; \lambda; \theta)}, \quad (1.2)$$

which reduces to Poisson distribution when $\lambda = 1$ and when λ is a positive integer, the distribution is known as the displaced Poisson distribution studied by Staff (1964). Bardwell and Crow (1964) termed the distribution as sub-Poisson when $\lambda < 1$ and super-Poisson when $\lambda > 1$. Bardwell and Crow (1964) and Crow and Bardwell (1965) considered various methods of estimation of the parameters of the distribution. Some queuing theory with hyper-Poisson arrivals has been developed by Nisida (1962) and certain results on moments of hyper-Poisson distribution has been studied in Ahmad (1979). Roohi and Ahmad (2003a) discussed the estimation of the parameters of the hyper-Poisson distribution using negative moments. Roohi and Ahmad (2003b) obtained certain recurrence relations for negative moments and ascending factorial moments of the HP distribution. Kemp (2002) developed q-analogue of the HP distribution and Ahmad (2007) introduced and studied Conway-Maxwell hyper-Poisson distribution. Kumar and Nair (2011, 2012a, 2012b) introduced modified versions of the HP distribution and discussed some of their applications.

Ahmad (1981) introduced a bivariate version of the HP distribution through the following *pgf*

$$Q(t_1, t_2) = (\phi_1 \phi_2)^{-1} \exp[\theta(t_1 - 1)(t_2 - 1)] \phi_1[1; \lambda_1; \theta_1 t_1] \phi_2[1; \lambda_2; \theta_2 t_2], \quad (1.3)$$

in which $\phi_i = \phi(1; \lambda_i; \theta_i)$. For $r \geq 0, s \geq 0$, the *pmf* $q(r, s) = P(Z_1 = r, Z_2 = s)$ of $Z = (Z_1, Z_2)$ with *pgf* (1.3) is the following

$$q(r, s) = \frac{e^\theta \Gamma(\lambda_1) \Gamma(\lambda_2)}{\phi_1 \phi_2} \sum_{i=0}^{\min(r,s)} \sum_{j=0}^{r-i} \sum_{k=0}^{s-i} \frac{(-1)^{j+k} \theta_1^{r-i-j} \theta_2^{s-i} \theta^{i+j+k}}{\Gamma(\lambda_1 + r - i - j) \Gamma(\lambda_2 + s - i - k) i! j! k!}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 > 0$ and $0 < \theta \leq \min(\theta_1/\lambda_1, \theta_2/\lambda_2)$.

Through the present paper we introduce another bivariate version of the HP distribution, which we named as ‘the bivariate hyper-Poisson distribution (*BHPD*)’ and obtain its important properties. In section 2, it is shown that the *BHPD* possess a random sum structure. Further we obtain its conditional probability distribution, probability mass function and factorial moments in section 2. In section 3, we develop certain recursion formulae for probabilities, raw moments and factorial moments of the *BHPD* and in section 4 we discuss the estimation of the parameters of the *BHPD* by the method of maximum likelihood and the distribution has been fitted to a well-known data set and it is observed that the *BHPD* gives better fit than the bivariate Poisson distribution and the bivariate hyper-Poisson distribution of Ahmad (1981).

Note that the bivariate version of HP distribution introduced in this paper is relatively simple in terms of its *pmf* and *pgf* compared to the bivariate version due to Ahmad(1981), and further this bivariate form possess a bivariate random sum structure as given in section 2. The random sum structure arises in several areas of research such as ecology, biology, genetics, physics, operation research etc. For details, see Johnson et al. (2005).

2 The BHP distribution

Consider a non-negative integer valued random variable X following HP distribution with *pgf* (1.2), in which $\theta = \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3, \theta_1 > 0, \theta_2 > 0$ and $\theta_3 \geq 0$. Define $\alpha_j = \theta_j/\theta$, for $j = 1, 2, 3$ and let

$\{Y_n = (Y_{1n}, Y_{2n}), n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed bivariate Bernoulli random vectors, each with *pgf*

$$P(t_1, t_2) = \alpha_1 t_1 + \alpha_2 t_2 + \alpha_3 t_1 t_2.$$

Assume that X, Y_1, Y_2, \dots are independent. Let $T_0 = (T_{10}, T_{20}) = (0, 0)$ and define

$$T_X = (T_{1X}, T_{2X}) = \left(\sum_{x=1}^X Y_{1x}, \sum_{x=1}^X Y_{2x} \right).$$

Then the *pgf* of T_X is the following, in which $\Lambda = \phi^{-1}(1; \lambda; \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)$.

$$H(t_1, t_2) = G\{P(t_1, t_2)\} = \Lambda \phi(1; \lambda; \theta_1 t_1 + \theta_2 t_2 + \theta_3 t_1 t_2) \tag{2.1}$$

We call a distribution with *pgf* as given in (2.1) as ‘the bivariate hyper-Poisson distribution’ or in short, ‘the *BHPD*’. Clearly the *BHPD* with $\lambda = 1$ is the bivariate Poisson distribution discussed in Kocherlakotta and Kocherlakotta (1992, pp 90) and when λ is a positive integer the *BHPD* with *pgf* (2.1) reduces to the *pgf* of a bivariate version of the displaced Poisson distribution.

Let (X_1, X_2) be a random variable having the *BHPD* with *pgf* (2.1). Then the marginal *pgf* of X_1 and X_2 are respectively

$$\begin{aligned} H_{X_1}(t) &= H(t, 1) = \Lambda \phi[1; \lambda; (\theta_1 + \theta_3)t + \theta_2] \text{ and} \\ H_{X_2}(t) &= H(1, t) = \Lambda \phi[1; \lambda; (\theta_2 + \theta_3)t + \theta_1]. \end{aligned}$$

The *pgf* of $X_1 + X_2$ is

$$H_{X_1+X_2}(t) = H(t, t) = \Lambda \phi[1; \lambda; (\theta_1 + \theta_2)t + \theta_3 t^2],$$

which is the *pgf* of a modified version of the HP distribution studied in Kumar and Nair (2011).

Let x be a non-negative integer such that $P(X_2 = x) > 0$. On differentiating (2.1) with respect to $t_2 x$ times and putting $t_1 = t$ and $t_2 = 0$, we get

$$H^{(0,x)}(t, 0) = (\theta_2 + \theta_3 t)^x \left(\prod_{j=0}^{x-1} D_j \right) \Lambda \delta_x(\theta_1 t) \tag{2.2}$$

where $D_j = (1 + j)/(\lambda + j)$ and $\delta_j(t) = \phi(1 + j; \lambda + j; t)$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Now applying the formula for the *pgf* of the conditional distribution in terms of partial derivatives of the joint *pgf*, developed by Subrahmaniam (1966), we obtain the conditional *pgf* of X_1 given $X_2 = x$ as

$$H_{X_1|X_2=x}(t) = \left(\frac{\theta_2 + \theta_3 t}{\theta_2 + \theta_3} \right)^x \frac{\phi(1 + x; \lambda + x; \theta_1 t)}{\phi(1 + x; \lambda + x; \theta_1)} = H_1(t) H_2(t), \tag{2.3}$$

where $H_1(t)$ is the *pgf* of a binomial random variable with parameters x and $p = \theta_3(\theta_2 + \theta_3)^{-1}$ and $H_2(t)$ is the *pgf* of a random variable following the *HPD* with parameters $1 + x, \lambda + x$ and

θ_1 . Note that, when $\theta_3 = 0$ and/or when $x = 0$, $H_1(t)$ reduces to the *pgf* of a random variable degenerate at zero. Thus the conditional distribution X_1 given $X_2 = x$ given in (2.4) can be viewed as the distribution of the sum of independent random variables V_1 with *pgf* $H_1(t)$ and V_2 with *pgf* $H_2(t)$. Consequently from (2.4) we obtain the following

$$E(X_1 | X_2 = x) = \frac{x\theta_3}{(\theta_2 + \theta_3)} + \frac{\theta_1 D_x \delta_{x+1}(\theta_1)}{\delta_x(\theta_1)} \quad (2.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(X_1 | X_2 = x) &= \frac{x\theta_2\theta_3}{(\theta_2 + \theta_3)^2} + \frac{\theta_1 D_x}{\delta_x^2(\theta_1)} \{D_{x+1}\delta_x(\theta_1)\delta_{x+2}(\theta_1)\theta_1 \\ &\quad + \delta_x(\theta_1)\delta_{x+1}(\theta_1) - D_x[\delta_{x+1}(\theta_1)]^2\theta_1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

In a similar approach, for a non-negative integer x with $P(X_1 = x) > 0$, we can obtain the conditional *pgf* of X_2 given $X_1 = x$ by interchanging θ_1 and θ_2 in (2.3). Therefore it is evident that comments similar to those in case of the conditional distribution of X_1 given $X_2 = x$ are valid regarding conditional distribution of X_2 given $X_1 = x$ and explicit expressions for $E(X_2 | X_1 = x)$ and $\text{Var}(X_2 | X_1 = x)$ can be obtained by interchanging θ_1 and θ_2 in the right hand side expressions of (2.5) and (2.6) respectively.

In order to obtain the probability mass function *pmf* of the *BHPD*, we need the following partial derivatives of $H(t_1, t_2)$, in which r is a non-negative integer.

$$H^{(r,0)}(t_1, t_2) = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{r-1} D_i \right) (\theta_1 + \theta_3 t_2)^r \Lambda \Delta_r(t_1, t_2), \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\Delta_j(t_1, t_2) = \phi(1 + j; \lambda + j; \theta_1 t_1 + \theta_2 t_2 + \theta_3 t_1 t_2), j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The following derivatives are needed in the sequel, in which $0 \leq i \leq r$ and $j \geq 1$.

$$\frac{\partial^i (\theta_1 + \theta_3 t_2)^r}{\partial t_2^i} = \frac{r! \theta_3^i}{(r-i)!} (\theta_1 + \theta_3 t_2)^{r-i} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\frac{\partial^j \Delta_r(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_2^j} = \prod_{i=r}^{r+j-1} D_i (\theta_2 + \theta_3 t_1)^j \Delta_{r+j}(t_1, t_2). \quad (2.8)$$

Differentiating both sides of (2.7) s -times with respect to t_2 and applying (2.8) and (2.9), we get the following

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(r,s)}(t_1, t_2) &= \left(\prod_{i=0}^{r-1} D_i \right) \Lambda \sum_{m=0}^s \binom{s}{m} \frac{\partial^m (\theta_1 + \theta_3 t_2)^r}{\partial t_2^m} \frac{\partial^{s-m} \Delta_r(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_2^{s-m}} \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=0}^{r-1} D_i \right) \Lambda \sum_{m=0}^{\min(r,s)} \binom{s}{m} \frac{r!}{(r-m)!} \theta_3^m (\theta_1 + \theta_3 t_2)^{r-m} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=r}^{r+s-m-1} D_i (\theta_2 + \theta_3 t_1)^{s-m} \Delta_{r+s-m}(t_1, t_2) \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Now, by putting $(t_1, t_2) = (0, 0)$ in (2.10) and by dividing $r!s!$, we get the *pmf* of the *BHPD* as

$$h(r, s) = \Lambda \theta_1^r \theta_2^s \sum_{m=0}^{\min(r,s)} \frac{D^*}{m!(r-m)!(s-m)!} \left(\frac{\theta_3}{\theta_1 \theta_2}\right)^m, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$D^* = \prod_{j=0}^{r+s-m-1} D_j \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{j=0}^k D_j = 1, \text{ for any } k < 0.$$

By putting $(t_1, t_2) = (1, 1)$ in (2.10) we get the $(r, s)^{th}$ factorial moment $\mu_{[r,s]}$ of the *BHPD* as

$$\mu_{[r,s]} = \Lambda r!s!(\theta_1 + \theta_3)^r (\theta_2 + \theta_3)^s \sum_{m=0}^{\min(r,s)} \frac{D^* \xi_{r+s-m}}{m!(r-m)!(s-m)!} \beta^m \quad (2.11)$$

where $\xi_j = \phi(1+j; \lambda+j; \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)$, for $j = 0, 1, \dots$ and $\beta = \theta_3(\theta_1 + \theta_3)^{-1}(\theta_2 + \theta_3)^{-1}$.

From (2.12) we have the following, in which $\psi_j = \Lambda \xi_j$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots$

$$E(X_1) = \mu_{[1,0]} = D_0 \psi_1 (\theta_1 + \theta_3) \quad (2.12)$$

$$E(X_2) = \mu_{[0,1]} = D_0 \psi_1 (\theta_2 + \theta_3) \quad (2.13)$$

$$\text{Cov}(X_1, X_2) = D_0(D_1 \psi_2 - D_0 \psi_1^2)(\theta_1 + \theta_3)(\theta_2 + \theta_3) + D_0 \psi_1 \theta_3 \quad (2.14)$$

where D_0 and D_1 are as given in (2.2).

3 Recurrence relations

Let (X_1, X_2) be a random vector following the *BHPD* with *pgf* (2.1). For $j=0, 1, 2, \dots$, define $\lambda^* + j = (1+j, \lambda+j)$ and $\lambda^{(j)} = (1+j)(\lambda+j)^{-1}$. Now, the *pmf* $h(r, s)$ of the *BHPD* given in (2.11) we denote by $h(r, s; \lambda^*)$. Then we have the following result in the light of relations:

$$H(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} h(r, s; \lambda^*) t_1^r t_2^s = \Lambda \phi(1; \lambda; \theta_1 t_1 + \theta_2 t_2 + \theta_3 t_1 t_2) \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\xi_1 \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} h(r, s; \lambda^* + 1) t_1^r t_2^s = \phi(2; \lambda + 1; \theta_1 t_1 + \theta_2 t_2 + \theta_3 t_1 t_2), \quad (3.2)$$

in which ξ_1 is as given in (2.12).

Result 3.1. The probability mass function $h(r, s; \lambda^*)$ of the *BHPD* satisfies the following recur-

rence relations.

$$h(r+1, 0; \lambda^*) = \frac{D_0\psi_1\theta_1}{(r+1)}h(r, 0; \lambda^*+1), r \geq 0 \quad (3.3)$$

$$h(r+1, s; \lambda^*) = \frac{D_0\psi_1}{(r+1)}[\theta_1h(r, s; \lambda^*+1) + \theta_3h(r, s-1; \lambda^*+1)], r \geq 0, s \geq 1 \quad (3.4)$$

$$h(0, s+1; \lambda^*) = \frac{D_0\psi_1\theta_2}{(s+1)}h(0, s; \lambda^*+1), s \geq 0 \quad (3.5)$$

$$h(r, s+1; \lambda^*) = \frac{D_0\psi_1}{(s+1)}[\theta_2h(r, s; \lambda^*+1) + \theta_3h(r-1, s; \lambda^*+1)], r \geq 1, s \geq 0 \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. Relation (2.7) with $r = 1$ gives

$$H^{(1,0)}(t_1, t_2) = D_0(\theta_1 + \theta_3t_2)\Lambda\Delta_1(t_1, t_2) \quad (3.7)$$

On differentiating both sides of (3.1) with respect to t_1 , we have

$$H^{(1,0)}(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (r+1)h(r+1, s; \lambda^*)t_1^r t_2^s \quad (3.8)$$

By using (3.2) and (3.8) in (3.7) we get the following, in which ψ_1 is as given in (2.13).

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (r+1)h(r+1, s; \lambda^*)t_1^r t_2^s &= D_0\psi_1[\theta_1 \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} h(r, s; \lambda^*+1) \\ &\quad t_1^r t_2^s + \theta_3 \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} h(r, s; \lambda^*+1)t_1^r t_2^{s+1}] \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

On equating the coefficient of $t_1^r t_2^0$ on both sides of (3.9) we get the relation (3.3) and on equating the coefficient of $t_1^r t_2^s$ on both sides of (3.9) we get the relation (3.4). We omit the proof of relations (3.5) and (3.6) as it is similar to that of relations (3.3) and (3.4). \square

Result 3.2. For $r, s \geq 0$, simple recurrence relations for factorial moments $\mu_{[r,s]}(\lambda^*)$ of order (r, s) of the *BHPD* are the following.

$$\mu_{[r+1,s]}(\lambda^*) = D_0\psi_1(\theta_1 + \theta_3)\mu_{[r,s]}(\lambda^*+1) + D_0\psi_1\theta_3s\mu_{[r,s-1]}(\lambda^*+1) \quad (3.10)$$

$$\mu_{[r,s+1]}(\lambda^*) = D_0\psi_1(\theta_2 + \theta_3)\mu_{[r,s]}(\lambda^*+1) + D_0\psi_1\theta_3r\mu_{[r-1,s]}(\lambda^*+1), \quad (3.11)$$

in which $\mu_{[0,0]}(\lambda^*) = 1$.

Proof. Let (X_1, X_2) be a random vector having the *BHPD* with *pgf* $H(t_1, t_2)$ as given in (2.1). Then the factorial moment generating function $F(t_1, t_2)$ of the *BHPD* is

$$\begin{aligned} F(t_1, t_2) &= H(1+t_1, 1+t_2) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \mu_{[r,s]}(\lambda^*) \frac{t_1^r t_2^s}{r!s!} \\ &= \Lambda\phi[1; \lambda; \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 + (\theta_1 + \theta_3)t_1 + (\theta_2 + \theta_3)t_2 + \theta_3t_1t_2] \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Differentiate (3.12) with respect to t_1 to get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_1} &= [(\theta_1 + \theta_3) + \theta_3 t_2] D_0 \Lambda \\ &\times \phi[2; \lambda + 1; \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 + (\theta_1 + \theta_3)t_1 + (\theta_2 + \theta_3)t_2 + \theta_3 t_1 t_2] \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Based on the similar argument as in the proof of Result 3.1., by using (3.12) with λ^* replaced by $\lambda^* + 1$, one can obtain the following from (3.13).

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \mu_{[r+1, s]}(\lambda^*) \frac{t_1^r t_2^s}{r! s!} &= D_0 \psi_1 \left\{ (\theta_1 + \theta_3) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \mu_{[r, s]}(\lambda^* + 1) \frac{t_1^r t_2^s}{r! s!} \right. \\ &\left. + \theta_3 \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \mu_{[r, s]}(\lambda^* + 1) \frac{t_1^r t_2^{s+1}}{r! s!} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Now on equating the coefficients of $(r!s!)^{-1}t_1^r t_2^s$ on both sides of (3.14) we obtain the relation (3.10). A similar procedure implies (3.11). \square

Result 3.3. Two recurrence relations for the (r, s) th raw moments $\mu_{r, s}(\lambda^*)$ of the *BHPD* are:

$$\mu_{r+1, s}(\lambda^*) = D_0 \psi_1 \theta_1 \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} \mu_{r-j, s}(\lambda^* + 1) + D_0 \psi_1 \theta_3 \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{k=0}^s \binom{r}{j} \binom{s}{k} \mu_{r-j, s-k}(\lambda^* + 1) \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$\mu_{r, s+1}(\lambda^*) = D_0 \psi_1 \theta_2 \sum_{k=0}^s \binom{s}{k} \mu_{r, s-k}(\lambda^* + 1) + D_0 \psi_1 \theta_3 \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{k=0}^s \binom{r}{j} \binom{s}{k} \mu_{r-j, s-k}(\lambda^* + 1) \quad (3.16)$$

Proof. The characteristic function $A(t_1, t_2)$ of the *BHPD* with *pgf* (2.1) is the following. For (t_1, t_2) in R^2 ,

$$A(t_1, t_2) = H(e^{it_1}, e^{it_2}) = \Lambda \phi[1; \lambda^*; \lambda(t_1, t_2; \theta)] = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \mu_{r, s}(\lambda^*) \frac{(it_1)^r (it_2)^s}{r! s!}, \quad (3.17)$$

where $\lambda(t_1, t_2; \theta) = \theta_1 e^{it_1} + \theta_2 e^{it_2} + \theta_3 e^{i(t_1+t_2)}$, $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$ and $i = \sqrt{-1}$. On differentiating (3.17) with respect to t_1 , we obtain

$$D_0 \Lambda \phi[2; \lambda^* + 1; \lambda(t_1, t_2; \theta)] \{i(\theta_1 + \theta_3 e^{it_2}) e^{it_1}\} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} i \mu_{r, s}(\lambda^*) \frac{(it_1)^{r-1} (it_2)^s}{(r-1)! s!}.$$

By using (3.17) with λ^* replaced by $\lambda^* + 1$; and on expanding the exponential functions, we obtain the following, in the light of some standard properties of double sum

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_{r+1,s}(\lambda^*)(it_1)^r(it_2)^s}{r!s!} \\ &= D_0 \psi_1 \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(it_1)^r(it_2)^s}{r!s!} \left\{ \theta_1 \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} \mu_{r-j,s}(\lambda^* + 1) + \theta_3 \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{k=0}^s \binom{r}{j} \binom{s}{k} \mu_{r-j,s-k}(\lambda^* + 1) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Now equate the coefficients of $(r!s!)^{-1}(it_1)^r(it_2)^s$ on both sides of (3.18) to get the relation (3.15). A similar procedure gives (3.16). \square

4 Estimation of parameters

Here we obtain the estimators of the *BHPD* by the method of maximum likelihood. Let $a(r, s)$ be the observed frequency of the $(r, s)^{th}$ cell of the bivariate data. Let y be the highest value of r observed and z be the highest value of s observed. Then by using (2.11) the likelihood function of the sample is the following.

$$L = \prod_{r=0}^y \prod_{s=0}^z [h(r, s)]^{a(r,s)} \Rightarrow \log L = \sum_{r=0}^y \sum_{s=0}^z a(r, s) \log h(r, s).$$

Let $\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2, \hat{\theta}_3$ and $\hat{\lambda}$ denotes the likelihood estimators of $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ and λ respectively. Now $\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2, \hat{\theta}_3$ and $\hat{\lambda}$ are obtained by solving the likelihood equations (4.1), (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4) given below.

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta_1} = 0$$

Equivalently,

$$\sum_{r=0}^y \sum_{s=0}^z a(r, s) \left\{ \frac{-1}{\lambda} \frac{\phi(2; \lambda + 1; \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)}{\phi(1; \lambda; \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)} + \frac{\sum_{m=0}^{\min(r,s)} \frac{D^* \theta_1^{r-m-1} \theta_2^{s-m} \theta_3^m}{(r-m-1)!(s-m)!m!}}{\xi(r, s)} \right\} = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta_2} = 0$$

Equivalently,

$$\sum_{r=0}^y \sum_{s=0}^z a(r, s) \left\{ \frac{-1}{\lambda} \frac{\phi(2; \lambda + 1; \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)}{\phi(1; \lambda; \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)} + \frac{\sum_{m=0}^{\min(r,s)} \frac{D^* \theta_1^{r-m} \theta_2^{s-m-1} \theta_3^m}{(r-m)!(s-m-1)!m!}}{\xi(r, s)} \right\} = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta_3} = 0$$

Equivalently,

$$\sum_{r=0}^y \sum_{s=0}^z a(r, s) \left\{ \frac{-1}{\lambda} \frac{\phi(2; \lambda + 1; \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)}{\phi(1; \lambda; \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)} + \frac{\sum_{m=0}^{\min(r,s)} \frac{D^* \theta_1^{r-m} \theta_2^{s-m} \theta_3^{m-1}}{(r-m)!(s-m)!(m-1)!}}{\xi(r, s)} \right\} = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \lambda} = 0$$

Equivalently,

$$\sum_{r=0}^y \sum_{s=0}^z a(r, s) \left\{ \frac{-1}{\phi(1; \lambda; \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} (\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)^x \eta(x) + \frac{1}{\xi(r, s)} \sum_{m=0}^{\min(r,s)} \eta(r + s - m) \frac{(r + s - m)! \theta_1^{r-m} \theta_2^{s-m} \theta_3^m}{(r - m)!(s - m)!m!} \right\} = 0, \quad (4.4)$$

in which $\xi(r, s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\min(r,s)} \frac{D^* \theta_1^{r-m} \theta_2^{s-m} \theta_3^m}{(r-m)!(s-m)!m!}$ and $\eta(u) = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda+u)} [\psi(\lambda) - \psi(\lambda + u)]$.

5 An application

Here we illustrate the method of maximum likelihood estimation using a real life data set taken from Patrat (1993). The description of data is as follows: The North Atlantic coastal states in USA can be affected by tropical cyclones. They divided the states into three geographical zones: Zone 1 (Texas, Louisiana, The Mississippi, Alabama), Zone 2 (Florida), and Zone 3 (Other states)

Now the interest is in the study of the joint distribution of the pair (X_1, X_2) , where X_1 and X_2 are the yearly frequency of hurricanes affecting respectively zone 1 and zone 3. The observed values of (X_1, X_2) during 93 years from 1899 to 1991 are as given in Table 1. We obtain the corresponding expected frequencies by fitting the bivariate Poisson distribution (*BPD*), the bivariate hyper-Poisson distribution of Ahmad (1981) (*BHPD_A*) and the bivariate hyper-Poisson distribution (*BHPD*) introduced in this paper using method of maximum likelihood in Table 1. The estimated values of the parameters of the *BPD*, the *BHPD_A* and the *BHPD* and the chi-square values in respective cases are listed in Table 2. From Table 2, it can be observed that the *BHPD* gives a better fit to this data compared to the existing models- the *BPD* and the *BHPD_A*.

Table 1: Comparison of observed and theoretical frequencies Hurricanes (1899-1991) having affected Zone 1 and Zone 3, using method of maximum likelihood.

	Zone 1	0	1	2	3	Total
Zone 3						
	OBS	27	9	3	2	41
0	<i>BPD</i>	28.24	12.71	2.86	0.48	44.29
	<i>BHPD_A</i>	28.31	12.49	2.95	0.48	44.23
	<i>BHPD</i>	25.64	14.31	2.50	0.26	42.71
	OBS	24	13	1	0	38
1	<i>BPD</i>	20.30	9.79	2.35	0.42	32.86
	<i>BHPD_A</i>	20.46	9.56	2.37	0.40	32.79
	<i>BHPD</i>	23.23	10.88	2.23	0.27	36.61
	OBS	8	2	1	0	11
2	<i>BPD</i>	7.29	3.75	0.96	0.19	12.19
	<i>BHPD_A</i>	7.39	3.65	0.95	0.17	12.16
	<i>BHPD</i>	6.60	3.62	0.88	0.12	11.22
	OBS	1	0	2	0	3
3	<i>BPD</i>	2.12	1.16	0.32	0.06	3.66
	<i>BHPD_A</i>	1.78	0.93	0.25	0.05	3.01
	<i>BHPD</i>	1.11	0.72	0.21	0.14	1.07
	OBS	60	24	7	2	93
Total	<i>BPD</i>	57.95	27.41	6.49	1.15	93
	<i>BHPD_A</i>	57.94	26.63	6.52	1.1	92
	<i>BHPD</i>	56.58	29.53	5.82	0.79	93

Table 2: Estimated values of the parameters of the BPD , the $BHPD_A$ and the $BHPD$ by the method of maximum likelihood estimation and corresponding chi-square values.

Distributions	Estimation of parameters	Chi-square values
BPD	$\hat{\theta}_1 = 0.683, \hat{\theta}_2 = 0.450, \hat{\theta}_3 = 0.021$	2.524
$BHPD_A$	$\hat{\theta}_1 = 0.780, \hat{\theta}_2 = 0.324, \hat{\theta}_3 = 0.021$ $\hat{\lambda}_1 = 1.075, \hat{\lambda}_2 = 0.619$	2.452
$BHPD$	$\hat{\theta}_1 = 0.414, \hat{\theta}_2 = 0.255, \hat{\theta}_3 = 0.049$ $\hat{\lambda} = 0.457$	0.463

References

- [1] Ahmad, M. (1979). A note on the moments of hyper-Poisson distribution. *Arabian Journal of Science and Engineering*, **4**, 65–68.
- [2] Ahmad, M. (1981). On a bivariate hyper-Poisson distribution. Statistical Distributions in scientific work 4. G.P. Patil, S. Kotz, J.K. Ord, (editors) 225–230. Dordrecht, Reidel.
- [3] Ahmad, M. (2007). A short note on Conway-Maxwell-hyper Poisson distribution. *Pakistan Journal of Statistics*, **23**, 135–137.
- [4] Bardwell, G. E. and Crow, E. L. (1964). A two parameter family of hyper-Poisson distribution. *Journal of American Statistical Association* **59**, 133–141.
- [5] Crow, E. L. and Bardwell, G. E. (1965). Estimation of the parameters of the hyper-Poisson distributions. In *classical and contagious discrete distributions* G. P. Patil, (editor), 127–140.
- [6] Johnson, N. L., Kemp, A. W. and Kotz, S. (2005). *Univariate Discrete Distributions*, 3rd Edition Wiley, New York.
- [7] Kemp, C. D. (2002). q-analogues of the hyper-Poisson distribution. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference* **101**, 179–183.
- [8] Kocherlakotta, S. and Kocherlakotta, K. (1992). *Bivariate discrete distributions*. Marcel Dekker, New York.
- [9] Kumar, C. S. (2008). A unified approach to bivariate discrete distributions. *Metrika* **67**, 113–123.
- [10] Kumar, C. S. and Nair, B. U. (2011). A Modified version of hyper-Poisson distribution and its applications. *Journal of Statistics and Applications* **6**, 25–36.
- [11] Kumar, C. S. and Nair, B. U. (2012a). An extended version of hyper-Poisson distribution and some of its applications. *Journal of Applied Statistical Sciences* **19**, 81–88.

- [12] Kumar, C. S. and Nair, B. U. (2012b). An Alternative hyper-Poisson distribution. *Statistica* **72**, 357–369.
- [13] Mathai, A. M. and Saxena, R. K. (1973). *Generalised Hypergeometric Functions with Applications in Statistics and Physical sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg.
- [14] Nisida, T. (1962). On the multiple exponential channel queuing system with hyper-Poisson arrivals. *Journal of the Operations Research Society* **5**, 57–66.
- [15] Patrat, C. (1993). Compound model for two dependent kinds of claim. *ASTIN Colloquium*, XXIVe Cambridge.
- [16] Roohi, A. and Ahmad, M. (2003a). Estimation of the parameter of hyper-Poisson distribution using negative moments. *Pakistan Journal of Statistics* **19**, 99–105.
- [17] Slater, L. J. (1960). *Confluent hypergeometric functions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [18] Staff, P. J. (1964). The displaced Poisson distribution. *Australian Journal of Statistics* **6**, 12–20.
- [19] Subrahmaniam, K. (1966). A test of for intrinsic correlation in the theory of accident proneness. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B* **28**, 180–189.